



Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.
Vol. (32) No. 3 pp 527-542,
2012

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

**PROMOTING PRODUCTIVITY OF THOMPSON SEEDLESS
GRAPEVINES BY APPLICATION OF SOME ANTIOXIDANTS
AND NUTRIENTS**

**F.F. Ahmed*; A. M. K. Abdelaal*
and E. El- Deen H. Abd Elaal****

*Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

**Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Sohag Univ. Egypt.

Received 3 July 2012

Accepted 13 July 2012

ABSTRACT

Role of certain antioxidants and nutrients in promoting productivity of Thompson seedless grapevines was investigated over two seasons (2010 and 2011). The tested antioxidants were vitamins of E, K, B & A (each at 20 ppm), citric acid (at 1000 ppm), Folic acid (at 20 ppm) and amino acids (at 200 ppm). However the tested nutrients were N, P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe, Mn and B. The tested elements were used singly or in combinations. Yield, cluster weight, berry weight, T.S.S % and total acidity % of the treated vines were investigated.

The obtained results showed that treatment with the tested nutrients or antioxidants considerably improved the yield expressed in weight and number of clusters per vine, cluster weight, berry weight and total soluble solids and responsible for reducing total acidity % in comparison to the check treatment. Application of micro and macro nutrients together was favourable than using each alone in this connection. The best antioxidant in this respect, in ascending order were citric acid, four vitamins, folic acid and amino acids. Combined applications of these

F. F. Ahmed *et al.*

antioxidants were preferable than using each antioxidant alone in this respect.

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

INTRODUCTION

Recently many attempts were carried out for promoting the yield of Thompson seedless grapevines. Different antioxidants enriched with various nutrients were used instead of using synthetic auxins that cause a great pollution in our environment. Using different antioxidants especially along with different nutrients was accompanied with protecting cells from damage and improving the biosynthesis of plant pigments and organic foods (Samiullah *et al.*, 1988 and Sandermann *et al.*, 1998).

Previous studies emphasized the beneficial effect of using antioxidants (Abada and Abd El- Hameed, 2009 and 2010; Abd El-Kariem, 2009; Ahmed *et al.*, 2010; Ahmed *et al.*, 2011 a; El- Hanafy, 2011; Wassel *et al.*, 2011; El- Kady- Hanaa, 2011; Mekawy, 2012 and Ahmed *et al.*, 2012) especially when they were applied with different nutrients (El- Sawy 2009; Sayed- Heba, 2010; El- Kady, 2011; Ahmed *et al.*, 2011a and 2011b and El- Kady- Hanaa, 2011).

The main objective of this work to study the impact of some antioxidants and nutrients on productivity of Thompson seedless grapevines grown in sandy soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during 2010 and 2011 seasons. 192 uniform in vigour 10- years old cane trained Thompson seedless grapevines were used. The experimental vines were grown in vineyard located at New Farm Fac. of Agric. Sohag Univ., El-Kawthar region, Sohag district, Sohag Governorate. The texture of the soil is sandy. Supporting system was T shape. Winter pruning was conducted on the middle of Jan during both seasons. Cane pruning system was applied through leaving ten canes × six eyes plus six renewal spurs × two eyes. All the selected vines had been planted at 1.75 × 2.0 meters apart. Surface irrigation system using well water (1200 ppm salinity) and canal water reciprocally was followed. Analysis of the tested soil was done according to method of Chapman and Pratt (1960) which are shown in Table (1).

Table 1: Analysis of the tested soil:

Constituents	values
Particle size distribution:	
Sand %	: 78.70
Silt %	: 10.28
Clay %	: 11.02
Texture	: Sandy
pH (1:2.0 extract)	: 7.0
EC (1: 2.0 extract) (dS m ⁻¹)	: 3.39
O.M. %	: 0.64
CaCO ₃ %	: 12.0
Total N %	: 0.90
Available P (Olsen, ppm)	: 13.0
Available K (ammonium acetate, ppm)	: 232

The selected vines (192 vines) received the usual horticultural practices that are commonly used in the vineyard, in addition to treatment with antioxidants and nutrients.

This study included two factors (A & B). The first factor (A) consisted of four treatments from different nutrients namely a₁) Untreated, a₂) Using micro nutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn & B), a₃) Using macro nutrients (N, P, K & Mg), and a₄) Using both micro and macro nutrients. The second factor (B) contained from sixteen treatments from single and combined applications of the four antioxidants namely citric acid at 1000 ppm, vitamins E, K, B & A each at 20 ppm, Folic acid at 20 ppm and amino acids (tryptophane, methionene and cysteine) at 200 ppm. Therefore, 64 treatments were evolved. Each treatment was replicated three times, one vine per each. The four macro nutrients applied were urea (46.0 % N) at 0.0 %, orthophosphoric acid at 0.1 %, potassin (60 % K₂O) at 0.1 % and magnesium sulphate (9.6 Mg) at 0.20 %. The four micro nutrients applied were Zn, Fe and Mn in chelated form at 0.0 % and boric acid (17 % B) at 0.020 %. Antioxidants and nutrients were applied four times during each season at growth start (1st week of Feb.), just after berry setting (3rd week of Apr.) and at three weeks intervals (2nd week

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

of May and 1st week of June). Triton B as a wetting agent was applied at 0.05 % to all antioxidant and nutrient solutions. Complete randomized block design in split plot arrangement was followed. The four nutrient treatments and the sixteen antioxidant treatments occupied the main and subplots, respectively.

At harvesting date when T.S.S/ acid ratio reached at least 20/1 (Weaver, 1976), clusters were harvested and the yield expressed in weight (kg) per vine and number of clusters was recorded. Five clusters/ vine were taken for measuring average cluster weight (g.), berry weight (g.), total soluble solids % and total acidity as g tartaric acid/ 100 ml juice, A.O.A.C., (1995).

All the obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using new L.S.D at 5 % for comparison among the investigated treatment means according to Mead *et al.*, (1993).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Yield and cluster weight:

It is clear from the data in Tables (2 & 3 & 4) that spraying macro (N, P, K & Mg) and/ or micro (Zn, Fe, Mn & B) significantly improved the yield expressed in weight (kg.) and number of clusters per vine and cluster weight in comparison to the control treatment. Number of clusters per vine did not change significantly with varying nutrient treatments in the first season of study. Application of macronutrients was significantly preferable than using micro nutrients in improving the yield and cluster weight. Using micro and macro nutrients together significantly enhanced the yield rather than application of each group alone. These results were similar during both seasons.

Single or combined application of the four antioxidants (citric acid, vitamins E & K & B & A, folic acid or amino acids) significantly improved the yield and cluster weight comparing with the check treatment. Combined applications of these antioxidants greatly helped in improving the yield than using each antioxidant alone. Efficacy of the applied materials in increasing yield and cluster weight could be arranged in the following descending order as

F. F. Ahmed *et al.*

follows: citric acid, vitamins E & K & B & A, folic acid and amino acids. Using all antioxidants together effectively maximized the yield and cluster weight during both seasons.

Table ۷: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the number of clusters per vine of Thompson seedless grapevines during ۲۰۱۰ and ۲۰۱۱ seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	۲۰۱۰					۲۰۱۱				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a _۱ untreated	a _۲ Micro	a _۳ Macro	a _۴ Both	Mean (B)	a _۱ untreated	a _۲ Micro	a _۳ Macro	a _۴ Both	Mean (B)
b _۱ Untreated	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۳	۲۵.۰	۲۷.۰	۲۹.۰	۳۱.۰	۲۸.۰
b _۲ Citric acid at ۱۰۰ ppm	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۲۷.۰	۲۹.۰	۳۱.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۰.۳
b _۳ Vitamins E& K& B& A at ۲۰ ppm	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۲۸.۰	۳۱.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۱.۸
b _۴ Folic acid at ۲۰ ppm	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۲۹.۰	۳۲.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۳.۰
b _۵ Amino acids at ۲۰ ppm	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۱.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۳
b _۶ Citric + vitamins	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _۷ Citric + Folic	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _۸ Citric + amino acids	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _۹ Vitamins + Folic	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _{۱۰} Vitamins + amino acids	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _{۱۱} Folic + amino acids	۲۴.۰	۲۴.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۴.۵	۳۲.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۴.۸
b _{۱۲} Citric + vitamins + Folic	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۸.۰	۳۵.۸
b _{۱۳} Citric + vitamins + amino acids	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۸.۰	۳۵.۸
b _{۱۴} Citric + Folic + amino acids	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۸.۰	۳۵.۸
b _{۱۵} Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۳۳.۰	۳۵.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۸.۰	۳۵.۸
b _{۱۶} All antioxidants	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۲۵.۰	۳۴.۰	۳۶.۰	۳۷.۰	۳۹.۰	۳۶.۵
Mean (A)	۲۴.۳	۲۴.۳	۲۴.۹	۲۵.۰		۳۱.۱	۳۳.۳	۳۵.۳	۳۶.۶	
New L.S.D at ۵ %	A		B		AB	A		B		AB
	NS		NS		NS	۱.۳		۱.۳		۲.۶

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

Table 3: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the yield/vine (kg.) of Thompson seedless grapevines during 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	2010					2011				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)
b ₁ Untreated	9.8	8.0	8.3	9.2	8.3	8.3	9.2	10.2	11.0	9.8
b ₂ Citric acid at 100 ppm	8.0	8.2	8.9	9.0	8.7	9.2	10.2	11.2	13.0	10.9
b ₃ Vitamins E& K& B& A at 20 ppm	8.3	8.0	9.1	9.7	8.9	9.9	11.3	12.3	13.8	11.8
b ₄ Folic acid at 20 ppm	8.0	8.8	9.4	10.0	9.2	10.6	12.0	13.4	14.0	12.6
b ₅ Amino acids at 200 ppm	8.8	9.0	9.8	10.4	9.0	11.7	12.9	14.2	10.7	13.6
b ₆ Citric + vitamins	9.0	9.4	10.0	10.6	9.8	12.4	13.6	14.8	16.0	14.2
b ₇ Citric + Folic	9.1	9.4	10.0	10.6	9.8	12.0	13.7	14.9	16.0	14.3
b ₈ Citric + amino acids	9.1	9.4	10.0	10.6	9.8	12.0	13.7	14.9	16.1	14.3
b ₉ Vitamins + Folic	9.2	9.4	10.1	10.6	9.8	12.0	13.7	10.3	16.0	14.0
b ₁₀ Vitamins + amino acids	9.2	9.0	10.1	10.6	9.9	12.0	13.7	10.3	16.0	14.0
b ₁₁ Folic + amino acids	9.2	9.0	10.1	10.6	9.9	12.6	13.8	10.4	16.6	14.6
b ₁₂ Citric + vitamins + Folic	9.9	10.1	10.4	11.0	10.4	13.3	14.6	16.2	17.4	10.4
b ₁₃ Citric + vitamins + amino acids	9.9	10.2	10.4	11.1	10.4	13.4	14.6	16.3	17.0	10.0
b ₁₄ Citric + Folic + amino acids	9.9	10.2	10.0	11.1	10.4	13.4	14.7	16.3	17.0	10.0
b ₁₅ Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	9.9	10.2	10.0	11.2	10.0	13.4	14.7	16.3	17.0	10.0
b ₁₆ All antioxidants	10.1	10.3	10.8	11.0	10.7	14.2	10.0	16.7	18.4	16.2
Mean (A)	9.1	9.4	9.9	10.0		12.0	13.2	14.6	10.9	
New L.S.D at 0.5%	A		B		AB	A		B		AB
	0.3		0.3		0.6	0.8		0.8		1.6

Table 4: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the average cluster weight (g.) of Thompson seedless grapevines during 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	2010					2011				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)
b ₁ Untreated	320.0	320.0	347.0	377.0	343.0	321.0	341.0	301.0	371.0	348.0
b ₂ Citric acid at 100 ppm	320.0	343.0	307.0	379.0	303.3	342.0	302.0	372.0	382.0	309.0
b ₃ Vitamins E& K& B& A at 20 ppm	340.0	300.0	370.0	387.0	372.8	303.0	374.0	373.0	394.0	371.0
b ₄ Folic acid at 20 ppm	307.0	377.0	377.0	400.0	374.0	370.0	370.0	384.0	404.0	382.0
b ₅ Amino acids at 20 ppm	377.0	377.0	390.0	410.0	387.3	377.0	390.0	390.0	420.0	397.0
b ₆ Citric + vitamins	377.0	390.0	401.0	422.0	397.0	387.0	401.0	412.0	432.0	408.0
b ₇ Citric + Folic	379.0	391.0	401.0	422.0	398.3	390.0	402.0	413.0	433.0	409.0
b ₈ Citric + amino acids	381.0	391.0	401.0	422.0	398.8	390.0	402.0	414.0	434.0	410.0
b ₉ Vitamins + Folic	382.0	392.0	402.0	423.0	399.8	391.0	403.0	414.0	440.0	410.8
b ₁₀ Vitamins + amino acids	383.0	394.0	403.0	424.0	401.0	391.0	403.0	410.0	440.0	417.0
b ₁₁ Folic + amino acids	380.0	390.0	404.0	420.0	402.3	394.0	400.0	417.0	448.0	418.0
b ₁₂ Citric + vitamins + Folic	390.0	400.0	417.0	441.0	414.0	404.0	417.0	427.0	407.0	428.8
b ₁₃ Citric + vitamins + amino acids	397.0	407.0	417.0	442.0	414.3	400.0	418.0	440.0	470.0	430.8
b ₁₄ Citric + Folic + amino acids	397.0	407.0	418.0	440.0	417.0	407.0	419.0	440.0	471.0	431.0
b ₁₅ Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	397.0	407.0	419.0	447.0	417.3	407.0	420.0	441.0	472.0	432.3
b ₁₆ All antioxidants	404.0	413.0	430.0	470.0	427.8	417.0	431.0	401.0	472.0	442.8
Mean (A)	370.3	380.4	397.7	419.9		384.3	397.4	411.8	432.8	
New L.S.D at 5 %	A		B		AB	A		B		AB
	8.0		9.0		18.0	7.0		8.0		17.0

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

The maximum yield and cluster weight were recorded with using all nutrients with all antioxidants together. Similar results were obtained in both seasons of the study.

The promoting effect of different nutrients on the yield and cluster weight was supported by the results of El- Kady (٢٠١١); Ahmed *et al.*, (٢٠١١a) and (٢٠١١b) and El- Kady- Hanaa (٢٠١١). The obtained results that indicate to the positive effect of antioxidants on the yield and cluster weight are in agreement with those obtained by El- Hanafy (٢٠١١); Wassel *et al.*, (٢٠١١); El- Kady- Hanaa (٢٠١١); Mekawy (٢٠١٢) and Ahmed *et al.*, (٢٠١٢).

٢- Physical and chemical characteristics of the grapes:

Data in Tables (٥ & ٦ & ٧) clearly reveal that foliar application of micro and or macro nutrients significantly was followed by improving quality of the grapes in terms of increasing berry weight and T.S.S % and reducing total acidity % in comparison to the control treatment. Using all nutrients was significantly favourable in improving quality of the berries than using micro or macro nutrients each alone.

Quality of the berries significantly enhanced with using the four antioxidants (citric acid, vitamins K, E, B & A, folic acid or amino acids) either singly or in various combinations rather than non-application. Quality improving was associated with using citric acid, vitamins K, E, B & A, folic acid and amino acids, in ascending order. Using all antioxidants together gave the best results.

Treating the vines four times with a mixture of nutrients (N, P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe, Mn & B) and antioxidants (citric acid, vitamins K, E, B & A, folic acid and amino acids) resulted in the best results with regard to physical and chemical characteristics of the grapes during both seasons.

The promoting effect of different nutrients on the physical and chemical characteristics was supported by the results of El- Kady (٢٠١١); Ahmed *et al.*, (٢٠١١a) and (٢٠١١b) and El- Kady- Hanaa (٢٠١١).

Table 5: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the average berry weight (g.) of Thompson seedless grapevines during 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	2010					2011				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)
b ₁ Untreated	1.00	1.09	1.74	1.78	1.72	1.04	1.70	1.77	1.72	1.73
b ₂ Citric acid at 100 ppm	1.71	1.76	1.71	1.76	1.76	1.72	1.77	1.73	1.80	1.71
b ₃ Vitamins E& K& B& A at 20 ppm	1.76	1.71	1.76	1.83	1.74	1.77	1.72	1.79	1.88	1.77
b ₄ Folic acid at 20 ppm	1.71	1.76	1.81	1.87	1.79	1.71	1.77	1.84	1.92	1.81
b ₅ Amino acids at 20 ppm	1.76	1.82	1.88	1.94	1.80	1.77	1.82	1.89	1.97	1.86
b ₆ Citric + vitamins	1.82	1.87	1.94	2.00	1.91	1.83	1.89	1.96	2.04	1.93
b ₇ Citric + Folic	1.83	1.87	1.94	2.00	1.91	1.84	1.90	1.97	2.04	1.94
b ₈ Citric + amino acids	1.83	1.87	1.94	2.00	1.91	1.84	1.90	1.97	2.04	1.94
b ₉ Vitamins + Folic	1.83	1.88	1.94	2.00	1.91	1.84	1.90	1.97	2.00	1.94
b ₁₀ Vitamins + amino acids	1.83	1.89	1.94	2.02	1.92	1.84	1.90	1.98	2.00	1.94
b ₁₁ Folic + amino acids	1.83	1.89	1.94	2.02	1.92	1.80	1.90	1.98	2.06	1.90
b ₁₂ Citric + vitamins + Folic	1.88	1.94	1.99	2.08	1.97	1.89	1.90	2.03	2.11	2.00
b ₁₃ Citric + vitamins + amino acids	1.88	1.99	2.00	2.10	1.98	1.90	1.90	2.03	2.11	2.00
b ₁₄ Citric + Folic + amino acids	1.88	1.90	2.00	2.10	1.98	1.90	1.90	2.03	2.11	2.00
b ₁₅ Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	1.88	1.90	2.00	2.10	1.98	1.90	1.96	2.03	2.11	2.00
b ₁₆ All antioxidants	1.93	1.99	2.00	2.10	2.03	1.90	2.01	2.08	2.19	2.06
Mean (A)	1.79	1.80	1.91	1.98		1.81	1.86	1.93	2.01	
New L.S.D at 5 %	A		B		AB	A		B		AB
	0.04		0.00		0.10	0.00		0.00		0.10

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

Table 6: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the percentage of total soluble solids in the grapes of Thompson seedless grapevines during 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	2010					2011				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)	a ₁ untreated	a ₂ Micro	a ₃ Macro	a ₄ Both	Mean (B)
b ₁ Untreated	17.1	17.4	17.8	18.0	17.7	17.0	18.0	18.4	18.7	18.2
b ₂ Citric acid at 100 ppm	17.0	17.7	18.1	18.4	17.9	17.9	18.4	18.8	19.1	18.7
b ₃ Vitamins E& K& B& A at 20 ppm	17.9	18.3	18.6	19.0	18.0	18.3	18.8	19.2	19.0	19.0
b ₄ Folic acid at 20 ppm	18.2	18.0	19.1	19.0	18.8	18.6	19.1	19.0	19.9	19.3
b ₅ Amino acids at 200 ppm	18.0	18.9	19.6	20.0	19.3	18.9	19.4	19.8	20.4	19.7
b ₆ Citric + vitamins	18.9	19.3	20.1	20.4	19.7	19.4	19.9	20.4	20.7	20.1
b ₇ Citric + Folic	19.0	19.4	20.2	20.4	19.8	19.4	19.9	20.0	20.8	20.2
b ₈ Citric + amino acids	19.0	19.4	20.2	20.0	19.8	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.8	20.2
b ₉ Vitamins + Folic	19.0	19.4	20.2	20.0	19.8	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.9	20.2
b ₁₀ Vitamins + amino acids	19.0	19.0	20.2	20.6	19.8	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.9	20.2
b ₁₁ Folic + amino acids	19.1	19.0	20.2	20.7	19.8	19.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	20.2
b ₁₂ Citric + vitamins + Folic	19.4	19.8	20.7	21.0	20.2	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.7	20.8
b ₁₃ Citric + vitamins + amino acids	19.0	20.1	20.7	21.0	20.3	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.9	20.8
b ₁₄ Citric + Folic + amino acids	19.0	20.1	20.8	21.0	20.3	20.1	20.6	21.1	22.0	20.9
b ₁₅ Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	19.0	20.1	20.9	21.1	20.3	20.1	20.6	21.1	22.1	20.9
b ₁₆ All antioxidants	19.9	20.0	21.4	22.2	21.0	20.0	21.1	21.8	22.6	21.0
Mean (A)	18.8	19.2	19.9	20.3		19.3	19.8	20.3	20.8	
New L.S.D at 5 %	A		B		AB	A		B		AB
	0.2		0.3		0.6	0.2		0.3		0.6

Table ٧: Effect of some nutrients and antioxidants on the percentage of total acidity in the grapes of Thompson seedless grapevines during ٢٠١٠ and ٢٠١١ seasons.

Kinds of antioxidants (B)	٢٠١٠					٢٠١١				
	Micro and macro nutrients treatments (A)									
	a _١ untreated	a _٢ Micro	a _٣ Macro	a _٤ Both	Mean (B)	a _١ untreated	a _٢ Micro	a _٣ Macro	a _٤ Both	Mean (B)
b _١ Untreated	٠.٦٨ ٠	٠.٦٦ ٤	٠.٦٤ ٧	٠.٦٢ ٠	٠.٦٥ ٣	٠.٦٩ ٤	٠.٦٦ ٠	٠.٦٤ ٠	٠.٦١ ١	٠.٦٥ ١
b _٢ Citric acid at ١٠٠ ppm	٠.٦٦ ٠	٠.٦٤ ٠	٠.٦٢ ٠	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٦٣ ٠	٠.٦٧ ٥	٠.٦٤ ٠	٠.٦٢ ٠	٠.٥٩ ٠	٠.٦٣ ١
b _٣ Vitamins E&K& B& A at ٢٥ ppm	٠.٦٤ ١	٠.٦٢ ٠	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٥٨ ١	٠.٦١ ١	٠.٦٦ ٠	٠.٦٠ ٩	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٥٧ ٠	٠.٦١ ٠
b _٤ Folic acid at ٢٥ ppm	٠.٦٢ ١	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٥٨ ١	٠.٥٦ ١	٠.٥٩ ١	٠.٦٤ ٥	٠.٥٩ ٠	٠.٥٧ ١	٠.٥٥ ١	٠.٥٨ ٩
b _٥ Amino acids at ٢٥٠ ppm	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٥٨ ٠	٠.٥٦ ٠	٠.٥٤ ١	٠.٥٧ ٠	٠.٦٣ ١	٠.٥٧ ٥	٠.٥٥ ٠	٠.٥٢ ٩	٠.٥٧ ١
b _٦ Citric + vitamins	٠.٥٨ ٠	٠.٥٦ ٠	٠.٥٤ ١	٠.٥٢ ٢	٠.٥٥ ١	٠.٦١ ١	٠.٥٦ ٠	٠.٥٣ ٠	٠.٥٠ ٠	٠.٥٥ ٠
b _٧ Citric + Folic	٠.٥٧ ٧	٠.٥٥ ٧	٠.٥٣ ٧	٠.٥١ ٧	٠.٥٤ ٧	٠.٦١ ٠	٠.٥٥ ٥	٠.٥٢ ٧	٠.٥٠ ٠	٠.٥٤ ٨
b _٨ Citric + amino acids	٠.٥٧ ٥	٠.٥٥ ٥	٠.٥٣ ٦	٠.٥١ ٦	٠.٥٤ ٦	٠.٦١ ٠	٠.٥٥ ٠	٠.٥٢ ٦	٠.٥٠ ٠	٠.٥٤ ٧
b _٩ Vitamins + Folic	٠.٥٧ ٤	٠.٥٥ ٥	٠.٥٣ ٥	٠.٥١ ٤	٠.٥٤ ٥	٠.٦٠ ٢	٠.٥٤ ٩	٠.٥٢ ٥	٠.٥٠ ٠	٠.٥٤ ٤
b _{١٠} Vitamins + amino acids	٠.٥٧ ٣	٠.٥٥ ٢	٠.٥٣ ٣	٠.٥١ ٣	٠.٥٤ ٣	٠.٦٠ ٠	٠.٥٤ ٩	٠.٥٢ ٠	٠.٤٩ ٧	٠.٥٤ ٢
b _{١١} Folic + amino acids	٠.٥٧ ١	٠.٥٥ ٠	٠.٥٣ ١	٠.٥١ ١	٠.٥٤ ١	٠.٥٩ ٧	٠.٥٤ ٧	٠.٥١ ٨	٠.٤٩ ٥	٠.٥٣ ٩
b _{١٢} Citric + vitamins + Folic	٠.٥٥ ٠	٠.٥٣ ٠	٠.٥٠ ٠	٠.٤٨ ٠	٠.٥١ ٥	٠.٥٧ ١	٠.٥٢ ٠	٠.٤٩ ١	٠.٤٧ ١	٠.٥١ ٣
b _{١٣} Citric + vitamins + amino acids	٠.٥٤ ٢	٠.٥٢ ٠	٠.٤٩ ١	٠.٤٧ ٧	٠.٥٠ ٨	٠.٥٧ ٠	٠.٥١ ٧	٠.٤٧ ٥	٠.٤٧ ٠	٠.٥٠ ٨
b _{١٤} Citric + Folic + amino acids	٠.٥٤ ٠	٠.٥١ ٨	٠.٤٩ ٠	٠.٤٧ ٥	٠.٥٠ ٦	٠.٥٦ ٨	٠.٥١ ٦	٠.٤٧ ١	٠.٤٦ ٦	٠.٥٠ ٥
b _{١٥} Vitamins + Folic + amino acids	٠.٥٤ ٠	٠.٥١ ٦	٠.٤٨ ٨	٠.٤٧ ١	٠.٥٠ ٤	٠.٥٦ ٦	٠.٥١ ٥	٠.٤٧ ١	٠.٤٦ ٦	٠.٥٠ ٥
b _{١٦} All antioxidants	٠.٥٠ ٥	٠.٤٨ ٠	٠.٤٦ ٠	٠.٤٣ ٠	٠.٤٦ ٩	٠.٥٤ ٦	٠.٤٨ ٠	٠.٤٤ ٩	٠.٤٠ ٥	٠.٤٧ ٠

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

Mean (A)	٠.٥٨ ٣	٠.٥٦ ٢	٠.٥٤ ١	٠.٥٢ ١		٠.٦١ ٠	٠.٥٥ ٨	٠.٥٣ ٠	٠.٥٠ ٨			
New L.S.D at ٥ %	A		B		AB		A		B		AB	
	٠.٠١٢		٠.٠١٤		٠.٠٢٨		٠.٠١١		٠.٠١٤		٠.٠٢٨	

These results are in agreement with those obtained by El- Hanafy (٢٠١١); Wassel *et al.*, (٢٠١١); El- Kady- Hanaa (٢٠١١); Mekawy (٢٠١٢) and Ahmed *et al.*, (٢٠١٢).

The positive action of nutrients and antioxidants on fruiting of the vines might be attributed to their essential roles in the biosynthesis of plant pigments and organic foods as well as enhancing enzymes activities and cell division. The beneficial effect of antioxidants on counteracting the adverse effects of salinity and drought on fruiting could give another explanation (Nijjar, ١٩٨٥; Samiullah *et al.*, ١٩٨٨ and Sandermann *et al.*, ١٩٩٨).

As a conclusion, supplying Thompson seedless grapevines with N, P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe and B fertilizers along with citric acid, vitamins K, E, A & B, folic acid and amino acids via leave was beneficial for promoting yield quantitatively and qualitatively.

VI- REFERENCES

- Abada, M. A. M. and Abd El- Hameed, H. M. (٢٠٠٩):** Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to spraying some vitamins. Minia J. of Agric. Res.& Develop. Vol. (٢٩) No. ٣ pp ٣٧١-٣٨٩.
- Abada, M. A. M. and Abd El- Hameed, H. M. (٢٠١٠):** The beneficial effects of spraying salicylic and citric acids on Flame seedless grapevines. The sixth inter. of Sustain Agric. and Develop. Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ. ٢٧ – ٢٩ December pp ١٥٣ – ١٦٤.
- Abd El- Kariem, A.M. (٢٠٠٩):** Relation of fruiting in Crimson seedless grapevines to spraying antioxidants. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

- Ahmed, F. F.; Abdelaal, A. M. K. and Ibrahiem- Rehab, G.** (٢٠١٢): Benefits of using some vitamins in Thompson seedless grapevine cv. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (٣٢) No. ١ pp ١٠٩ – ١٢٨.
- Ahmed, F.F.; Abd El- Aziz, F. H. and Abd El- Kariem A. M.** (٢٠١٠): Relation of fruiting in Crimson seedless grapevines to spraying some antioxidants. Proceeding Minia ٢nd Conference of Agric. & Environ. Sci. Agric.& Develop. Scopes. March ٢ – ٢٤ pp. ١٠٣ – ١١٢.
- Ahmed, F. F.; Abdel- aal, A. M. K.; Abdelaziz, F. H. and El- Kady- Hanaa, F. M.** (٢٠١١a): Productive capacity of Thompson seedless grapevines as influenced by application of some antioxidants and nutrient treatments. Minia J. of Agric. Res.& Develop. Vol. (٣١) No. ٢ pp ٢١٩ – ٢٣٢.
- Ahmed, F. F.; Ibrahiem- Asmaa, A.; Mansour, A. E. M.; Shaaban, E. A. and El- Shamaa, M. S.** (٢٠١١b): Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of some amino acids enriched with nutrients as well as organic and biofertilization. Res. J. of Agric. and Biological Sci. ٧ (٢): ٢٨٢ – ٢٨٦.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists** (١٩٩٥): Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C), ١٢th Ed. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington D.C. U.S.A. pp. ٤٩٠ – ٥١٠.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. P.** (١٩٦٥): Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Water. Univ. of California. Division of Agric., Sci. ١٧٢ – ١٧٣.
- El- Hanafy, W. M. F.** (٢٠١١): The role of some antioxidants on improving vines productivity in Red Roomy grapevine vineyard. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- El- Kady, A. M. A.** (٢٠١١): Effect of certain cultural treatments on growth and productivity of some seedless grape cultivars. Ph. D. Thesis., Fac. of Agric. Assiut Univ. Egypt.
- El- Kady- Hanaa, F. M.** (٢٠١١): Productive performance of Thompson seedless grapevines in relation to application of

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

- some antioxidants, magnesium and boron. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- El- Sawy, Y. A. E. (٢٠٠٩):** Attempts for breaking dormancy and improving fruiting of Superior grapevines. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Mead, R.; Currnow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (١٩٩٣):** Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. Second Ed. Chapman & Hall London. pp ١٠ – ٤٤.
- Mekawy, A. Y. H. (٢٠١٢):** Attempts for improving yield quantitatively and qualitatively of Thompson seedless grapevines by application of some antioxidants with humic acid and farmyard manure extract. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Nijjar, G. S. (١٩٨٥):** Nutrition of Fruit Trees. Second Edition, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp. ١٧٩ – ٢٧٢.
- Samiullah, S.A.; Ansari, M.M. and Afridi, R.K. (١٩٨٨):** B-vitamins in relation to crop productivity. Ind. Re. Life, Sci. ٨: ٥١ – ٧٤.
- Sandermann, H. S.; Ernst, D. and Wandlangebortels, H. C. (١٩٩٨):** Ozone on a biotic elicitor of plant defence reactions. Trends. Plant Sci. ٣: ٤٧ – ٥٠.
- Sayed- Heba, F. I. (٢٠١٠):** Effect of stimulant amino quelant-Ca and zinc on yield and berries quality of Thompson seedless grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Wassel, A. M. M.; Ahmed, F. F.; El- Mamlouk, E. A. H. and Fekry, W. M. E. (٢٠١١):** Relieving clusters looseness and shot berries in Red Roomy grapevines by using some antioxidants. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (٣١): No. ٢ pp ٢٠٥ – ٢١٧.
- Weaver, R. J. (١٩٧٦):** Grape Growing. A Wiley Interscience Publication John Wiley & Davis, New York, London, Sydney, Tronto pp. ١٦٠ – ١٧٥.

تحسين إنتاجية كرمات العنب الطومسون سيدلس عن طريق استخدام بعض مضادات الأكسدة والعناصر الغذائية

فيصل فاضل أحمد حسن* - أحمد محمد كمال عبد العال*

عماد الدين حافظ عبد العال أحمد عارف**

*قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا - مصر.

**قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة سوهاج - مصر.

تم رش كرمات العنب الطومسون سيدلس النامية في التربة الرملية أربعة مرات ببعض مضادات الأكسدة (فيتامينات هـ ، ك ، ب ، أ بتركيز ٢٥ جزء في المليون ، حامض ألستريك بتركيز ١٠٠٠ جزء في المليون - حامض الفوليك بتركيز ٢٥ جزء في المليون - والأحماض الأمينية بتركيز ٢٥٠ جزء في المليون) مع بعض العناصر الغذائية (النيتروجين - الفوسفور - البوتاسيوم - الماغنيسيوم - الزنك - الحديد - المنجنيز - البورون) إما بصورة فردية أو بجميع التوليفات المختلفة وذلك خلال موسمي ٢٠١٠، ٢٠١١ ولقد تم دراسة درجة استجابة كمية المحصول ووزن العنقود ووزن الحبة والمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والحموضة الكلية لهذه المعاملات.

وقد أوضحت النتائج أن الاستخدام الفردي والمشارك للعناصر الغذائية والمواد المضادة للأكسدة يساعد على تحسين كمية محصول الكرمة معبرا عنه في صورة عدد العناقيد والوزن بالكيلو جرام ووزن العنقود ووزن الحبة والنسبة المئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية ونقص النسبة المئوية للحموضة الكلية وذلك بالمقارنة بمعاملة الكونترول. وكان استخدام العناصر الأصغري والكبرى معا أفضل من استخدام أي منهما بمفرده في هذا الصدد كذلك كان الاستخدام المشترك للمواد المضادة للأكسدة أفضل من استخدام أي مادة مضادة للأكسدة بمفردها.

The effect of antioxidants and nutrients on thompson seedless grapevines

أمكن الحصول علي أفضل النتائج بخصوص المحصول كما ونوعا في كرمات العنب الطومسون سيدلس النامية في التربة الرملية عند رش الكرمات بجميع العناصر الغذائية (نيتروجين - فوسفور - بوتاسيوم - ماغنيسيوم - زنك - حديد - منجنيز - بورون) جنباً إلى جنب مع حامض أستريك - فيتامينات هـ ، ك ، ب ، أ - حامض الفوليك والأحماض الأمينية.